

From: Juston Freeman [mailto:justonfreeman277@gmail.com]

Sent: 1. mars 2018 00:16

To: althingi@althingi.is; Ísl. Kanada - Ottawa <icemb.ottawa@utn.stjr.is>

Subject: stop male genital mutilation

Somehow B'nai Brith began sending me their email propaganda. The most recent pertained to Iceland's proposed circumcision ban. B'nai Brith is even pandering to Canadians to lobby Iceland on their affairs in this matter.

For the record, as a circumcised male, non-Jew, I applaud Iceland's decision to stop this mutilating practice and let males make a decision to get circumcised when they are able to lawfully make decisions for themselves, especially if the decision pertains to an issue that cannot be undone... and for what purpose? A cultish belief?

I was circumcised as an infant because of societal norms at the time and because my father was circumcised. He also is a non-Jew. His immigrant parents were told it was a good idea to do it. Yet, I wonder if the medical profession was at all influenced by Jewish bias on this issue, especially in the Atlantic northeast region of the USA. There is no sound reason to do this as simple washing will mitigate any potential for infection.

The glans is SUPPOSED to be a very sensitive part of the male organ, very much like the tissue which makes up a women's clitoris. Having the foreskin removed makes the glans become desensitized and, for lack of a better description, "weathered." Yes, there remains sensitivity but so does sensitivity exist in one's fingertips. It is not anywhere close to the same.

As a male ages, the duration of erections usually diminishes. The condition is exacerbated when a very sensitive area, like the glans, is catastrophically desensitized which results in less surface area being available for maximum stimulation.

It seems that western civilization expresses abhorrence at genital mutilation that is forced on young females by their Muslim parents and relatives, and I would argue, "rightly so." Yet, very little if nothing is made of male genital mutilation. I was not even aware that male Muslims were circumcised, as B'nai Brith claims. Has male genital mutilation gone largely undiscussed because the Jewish faith and culture has monopolized their claim to historical

victimhood above all other cultures who have been decimated by wars, pogroms, invasion, neglect and deceit?

Is it because governments throughout the western world have been heavily lobbied to put in place statutes that override public discussion and personal opinions in favor of "hate-speech" laws? Is it because some of the most financially powerful lobbies and organizations, like AIPAC and the ADL stand vigilantly on guard to get the State to step on the throat of an individual who voices doubt or concern over Jewish claims of fact? If a non-Jew expresses disgust about Zionist policies that banished and killed 750,000 Palestinians he/she is labeled an anti-semite. If a Jew, such as Miko Peled has the courage to denounce these policies, he is labeled a self-loathing Jew.

The rabbis could not kill Christ because he was a fellow Jew, so they lobbied the Romans hard to do their bidding and most of us know how that story ended. Has anything really changed in 2018 years?

Well here is my voice in the wilderness. Please set an example to the rest of the world to end forcible genital mutilation of males and females.